

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO |). | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------|------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/072,580 | • | 02/08/2002 | Janos Bodor | F7589(V) | 1805 |
| 201 | 7590 | 04/09/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| UNILEV | | | SPIVACK, PHYLLIS G | | |
| PATENT DEPARTMENT 45 RIVER ROAD | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| EDGEWATER, NJ 07020 | | | | 1614 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 04/09/200 | 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | 10/072,580 | BODOR ET AL. | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Phyllis G. Spivack | 1614 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | correspondence address | |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh | ication. A proper reply to a lich places the application in | |
| | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dhave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exterminity of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | Ivisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 insign and the corresponding amount of the distautory period for reply originally set in | of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 10 March 2004. A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered I | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require furth | her consideration and/or search | (see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note | below); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or. | in better form for appeal by ma | terially reducing or simplifying the | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance | eling a corresponding number of | finally rejected claims. | |
| NOTE: | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje | ction(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | d be allowable if submitted in a | separate, timely filed amendment | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _ | | sidered but does NOT place the | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ecause it is not directed SOLELY | Y to issues which were newly | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v | | • | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | : | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | • | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: 9 and 10. | | 0.000 | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap | proved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | |
| 9.⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | - 01 11° C | |
| 10. Other: | | - Phyllis Spiract | |

Phyllis G. Spivack Primary Examiner HYLLIS SPIVACK Art Unit: 1614 PRIMARY EXAMINER